

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/798,218 | THURK, MARCEL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Andrew D. Kosar | 1654 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: after 1st action

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(3) _____.

(2) Stephen Sullivan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2006

Time: 10:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Proposed examiner's amendment.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

potential claim objections and potential 112 1st and 2nd rejections

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for an examiner's amendment was obtained for the amendments as enclosed to place the claims in condition for allowance. New claims 182-185 introduced to clarify the amendments. Claims were cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)